

**From:** Lee Willoughby  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/23/02 12:20pm  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

The PFJ doesn't take into account Windows-compatible competing operating systems

The PFJ Contains Misleading and Overly Narrow Definitions and Provisions

The PFJ Fails to Prohibit Anticompetitive License Terms currently used by Microsoft

The PFJ Fails to Prohibit Anticompetitive Practices Towards OEMs

The PFJ as currently written appears to lack an effective enforcement mechanism.

Considering these problems, one must conclude that the Proposed Final Judgment as written allows and encourages significant anticompetitive practices to continue, and would delay the emergence of competing Windows-compatible operating systems. Therefore, the Proposed Final Judgment is not in the public interest, and should not be adopted without addressing these issues.

Please refer to <http://www.kegel.com/remedy/remedy2.html#fix> for suggested changes.

Lee Willoughby  
6424 Morningside Drive  
Kansas City, MO 64113